

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
09/806,557	CLARKE ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
N. Bhat	1764	

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Time:

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Rejection(s) discussed:  
103 obviousness rejection

Claims discussed:  
29

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*WO 91/17804 and Clarke 5, 819, 955*

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*See Continuation Sheet*

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 29 does not specifically recite the two main assemblies, the first assembly being a swirl generator and a second assembly including a cyclonic evaporator which includes a heat exchanger or heating medium to operate as an evaporator. This was added to claim 29 which renders applicant's claims patentable over the prior art..